There’s a story going around about how much of the time the U.S. Apollo space missions are on course. Three percent, the story goes. The rest of the time goes to course correction.

So it is with virtual teams. Cyberspace requires that, for the moment, 97 percent of our time is spent in course correction.

We need good tools to navigate. The first place to start is at home, creating virtual places to work that are good substitutes for physical places. This is a necessary early step.

Members gain more than information about tasks and behavior in traditional physical places. Very directly, people have a mental image of their colocated teams: sets of unique individuals assembled in their special places, who have a base, somewhere to operate from. Equally important is the team’s work model, physically evident in its space. Materials, tools, partial products, and people at work all contribute to a concrete understanding of purpose and how the team pursues it. All of this together is the group’s shared mental (cognitive) model. It’s where a group makes course corrections on the spot.

As people construct new places, they embed in them their models—consciously or unconsciously. A virtual team does not just replicate the
functions of an old physical place online. It also generates a new conceptual space that never has existed before.

New technologies are innovations that diffuse through society in well-recognized patterns. First, the new technology develops slowly against resistance, gaining a foothold by replicating and replacing functions of older technologies. Only after an innovation establishes itself as a good substitute will its truly innovative features and revolutionary effects come to full expression. Then its adoption rapidly expands through society.

The cognitive characteristics of groups are blossoming in the fertile soil of shared digital environments.

When virtual teams explicitly share their models using common technology, their ideas go beyond the members themselves. The database of the online team room reflects many people’s thinking, both in its overall information architecture and in the countless choices people make about their communications. Thus, a significant portion of the group’s shared intelligence and its ongoing thinking is expressed and retained in bits online.

The Virtual Team Room

Rebecca Stillwater is a little nervous about her first meeting with the e-Experience Strategy Team on the Monday after New Year’s. She joins just as the group kicks off its implementation phase.

The “e-Ex” Strategy Team (eXST) was set up six weeks earlier. The company has successfully met its e-commerce targets and now is into e-service development. This long-range thinking group is to look at how the company is going to get ahead of the web curve. Several vice presidents and one of the founders are members of a 17-person virtual team from all levels and regions within the company, as well as several outside consultants, a key vendor, and two customers who are codevelopment partners.

Like most people in the company, Rebecca already has stopped by the team’s web site to look at its “outside” walls. The team’s purpose, its mem-
bers, and how to contact them (and its open positions) are visible to all. Rebecca sees the need for her skills, so she posts for the team and is accepted. Rebecca received the login keys to the online room a week earlier, as the team was wrapping up its launch activities. Ideally, she would have been there as the team formed and stormed its way to a clear set of goals. But the need for a systems architect emerges only as the team deepens its thinking about how to achieve concrete results quickly. In the few days she has before the holidays, Rebecca wants to get an inside view of the team’s current status at year’s end and how it got there.

**Thinking Up to Speed**

Clicking OK on her password brings her to the team’s Portal Page, which seems to change hourly in these last frantic days before Christmas. The room is in rapid flux as the team concludes its launch. A new graphic of the team’s envisioned result occupies a place of honor under its name and logo. Announcements and links to key documents straddle the central hot map that points to more detailed views. A navigation bar points her to the room’s four walls, team discussions, the file library, a team directory, and the company’s internal portal page.

She clicks on the top of the icon, and presto she’s at the Time Wall. An agenda outlines the upcoming meeting, along with the expected pre-work for it and pointers to related information. A weekly schedule for the team is to the left side of the wall, and announcements for subteam events line the right side. Below, there is a Gantt chart that summarizes current tasks in little bars of time that chunk past, present, and future. Scrolling to the bottom of the wall brings up the big picture of the team’s life cycle and its major milestones. Attached to this picture is a time line of the work process, meetings, and discussions that led to this moment in the team’s life. Perusal of this material keeps Rebecca up very late one night, but she appreciates the insight into the group’s thinking as well as getting a feel for some of the personalities.

Another click and she faces the Purpose Wall. On a “peg” at the top is the team mission, which someone has fancifully done up as a needle-stitch graphic. Already familiar with the vision and mission from the outside view
of the Purpose Wall, she is intrigued with the internal goals developed by the team. These goals serve as the centers of agreement for the subteams now hard at work. She clicks on a goal and it unfolds into the next level. Detailed tasks, meetings, intermediate results, and decisions expected from the subteams all appear. Curious, she clicks on a task labeled “Explore database options” listed under the technology goal to see who is involved, the timing, budget estimate, and associated deliverables.

Looking for more detail on the technology subteam and its members, Rebecca clicks on the People Wall. Using the subteam button, she displays the names, roles, and locations of members in the central panel. A click on the name of one of the co-leaders brings the smiling face of Brahm Rogers to the screen with some basic profile information alongside. He’s included a link to his personal web site, where she learns that Brahm’s been at the technical forefront of network developments—and that he loves to ski.

Returning to the People Wall with the navigation bar, Rebecca selects the outline icon and brings up an extended organization chart that is anchored in the middle by the strategy team. Subteams and members flow down from the team; other teams and related organizations reach out horizontally; and the sponsoring organizations fan out above the team. In a few minutes, Rebecca feels she has a clear view of the team’s context, especially after reviewing the web sites of several unfamiliar sponsoring groups.

A ski fanatic herself, Rebecca is about to send a message to see if she can arrange a slopeside hello over the holidays with Brahm, the co-leader she profiled, when she wonders what the team’s protocols around e-mail might be. So she clicks over to the Links Wall and hits the e-mail button. This brings up access to team lists, an ability to send or forward e-mails to structured discussion topics, and the team’s six rules of e-mail etiquette—including an open invitation to send personal notes. However, she sees on the electronic in/out indicator that her intended recipient is incommunicado for a week. Other buttons lead to information about using conference calls, setting up virtual meetings using a web-based real-time communications system, and an open item on setting up a regular videoconference with one of the customer-partners.

An especially valuable instrument on this wall is the map of conversations. Access to the flow of dialogue from the earliest online meetings
gives her a real feeling for how the team talked its way to its current clarity. Here, too, is the source for much of her fledgling knowledge of the styles, experience, and expertise of her new team members. Below the map is a dense catalog of links, a team bookmark list to key resources in the company, applications central to the team’s mission, and critical outside information sources.

She also chooses to generate and print out a complete high-level process flowchart that summarizes the team’s planned work (Figure 11.1). It provides her with a map of the working relationships needed to accomplish the team’s mission.

Figure 11.1 Virtual Team Process
In his welcoming note with her login information, Brahm introduces the online room as the team’s collective memory. A real-time memory, he says, of the group’s thinking and interactions that has been recorded by, reported on, and tracked through the technology underlying the team room. A new member like Rebecca has access to a wealth of familiarizing information, organized in the natural context of the team’s development and work. He also characterizes the online place as a “living status report,” the current state and focus of the team. He says pointedly that team members are expected to spend time in the room prior to leadership meetings. Synchronous (real-time) sessions are precious and not to be used for round-robin informational briefings.

**The Virtual Meeting**

A few minutes before the appointed time, Rebecca logs in to the team room, and clicks on Attend Meeting. This milestone event is designated as a *shared-click* meeting, meaning that the team uses a web-based, real-time work environment that gives them shared whiteboards, click paths, applications, and polling facilities. *Self-click* meetings consist of a conference call with everyone on the web clicking themselves through the agenda as the team “walks around” the room.

Rebecca finds herself looking at a fresh and somewhat revised agenda posted on the Time Wall, a schedule sprinkled with links to relevant locations in the room. Alongside is an empty area entitled Meeting Notes, and at the bottom is an empty table for Issues and Actions. More interesting is the banter of voices as new people join the meeting and conversation flows through an “open mike.” The tote board shows that almost everyone has gathered as the appointed time rolls around.

Brahm opens the meeting by reviewing the agenda and then suggests taking a few minutes to introduce their newest member. He moves the team with a click to the People Wall, where everyone sees Rebecca’s picture centrally displayed. Her profile information (which Rebecca makes a mental note to complete) is to the right. On the left, a slice of the team’s extended organization chart displays her home group in context. Rebecca has had time to think about what she wants to say about herself. She finishes with a quick review of the skills and experience that she thinks will be
most relevant to the team’s mission. Making a few notes in the team identity table as she speaks, Brahm posts his summary and asks Rebecca if it is accurate.

Brahm transfers attention now to the Purpose Wall. There’s a major planning issue the team needs to address, the impact of the XML software standard on their partnering strategy. They review goals of the two subteams involved and vigorously debate the significance of the issue and how best to assess it. It’s clear that most people need more information and time to think about it. The team agrees to set up a week-long conversation on the topic and to create an additional task for the technology team to track. Quickly setting up the task, Brahm then saves an empty XML Strategy Document that will contain the concrete results of this activity. He also creates an empty “decision” item for the team to fill in as the designated online conversation wraps up by week’s end.

Bringing the team to the conversation outline on the Links Wall, Brahm asks where best to locate this new technology topic, and he immediately follows the suggestion to put it in the strategic dialogues. The group has made the real-time placement of key topics into an ongoing map of conversations almost a ritual. They acknowledge the dialogue’s importance while providing a practical visual cue about where it is taking place. The group moves to the relationship map, which shows who is involved with each task. The new task is highlighted in anticipation of deciding who will take responsibility for this activity.

With a click, the group is in the Product Room, configured in a design that reflects the components of the group’s output. The graphic of the team’s product appears with richer detail about how the elements combine into a whole. Today’s focus is on the service component, and three people speak about the significance of new documents posted here. They conclude with a request for help in reviewing several web sites that look competitive with their intended offering. Several subteam leaders then point to particular areas that are the subjects of meetings in the upcoming week, inviting anyone to join but calling attention to expected attendees.

With 15 minutes remaining until the end of the real-time web meeting, the group moves back to the Time Wall to review meeting notes and action items collected through the meeting. They use a technique based
on the U.S. Army’s After Action Review to assess what was supposed to happen, what did happen, what worked, and what didn’t and to capture learning to add to their compendium of best practices.\footnote{1} The meeting ends with a brief appreciation and request for final comments.

**Holding the Whole**

We cannot tell a lie: Virtual teams are complex. They have all the complexity of any group—and then some, magnified by their distributed nature. To navigate intuitively through the team space, create online functions that support natural teams. In the preceding scenario of using a virtual room, we included functionality that supports three typical ways teams hold their information: in a place, a plan, and a handbook.

**The Place**

“We’re witnessing the next change in communication style,” says Bert Sutherland, who headed Sun Microsystems research and development for many years. “E-mail is a push model; I want to broadcast to someone. The web is a pull model; the information sits there until someone who wants it can pull it.”

For millennia, new communications media have improved the ability to *push* information. With digital media, the historic trend to push is suddenly paralleled by dramatic new capability to *pull*—seeking and finding the information you need when you need it. In a pull model of information access, particularly one in which users are both readers and writers, it is vital that everyone share common views of what information goes where when. Hence the value of creating a common online place.

The World Wide Web stands out as the defining tool of the new world of virtual work. This vast new cyberterritory, which at the millennial dawn is still a global frontier, includes the Internet and the even vaster matrix of all interconnected computers inside and outside the planet’s organizations and homes.

As an almost ideal medium for shared mental models, the web combines anytime-anywhere availability with an unrivaled flexibility of expression that embraces all other media. At the hub of the web is the *link*, not even a
proper technology but a pure package of information, an address tag—the Uniform Resource Locator (URL).

On the web, you can link anything represented as information to any other information anywhere. Most remarkably, you naturally put these links into context, however consciously or unconsciously constructed. A team’s web site is a place to clearly and explicitly grow its common information and shared perspective.

The web approach to team intelligence scales completely. Team places may entail the use of personal home pages (like those offered by many Internet service providers) or they may plug into internal enterprise networks where they leverage vast resources (as at Sun). Modeling tools can combine product and process views at any level of complexity.

- A virtual team web site can be as simple as it needs to be. A single home page with a name banner and clickable pointers to current events, purpose, people, and communications may be all you need.
- A typically complex virtual team can create a two-level site, a portal home page and four main pages for the walls, as in the preceding example.
- A very complex longer-life team can build out its basic process room to a multilevel structure. Subteams set up rooms using the navigation model of the main team room. Together they create a product room with walls for major components that act as common navigation for the team’s specific work.
- Programs and enterprises use the web with all its interactive power to create visible dynamic models of teams in the larger context of many other teams. Sponsors, leaders, and members of multiple teams in particular appreciate the ability to navigate between and within team rooms using the same language for online work.

Remember that there are no rules for what you can and cannot do. Regardless of what information you share, just the fact that you keep it online is a tremendous source of common experience and pride for your team.
The Plan

The picture of progress in the Industrial Age was the sloped straight line of linear process. Progress in the Information Age is a spiral of iterations of widening scope stretching out along a life cycle. The combination of speed, complexity, and the change so emblematic of our time demands a different approach to the future than a one-time, fixed plan of action.

Iterating progressive refinements is a basic systems strategy for planning in the face of uncertainty. With skilled leadership and facilitation, planning events can serve to accomplish and mark iterations rapidly and cost-effectively. These iterations have both depth of detail and scope in time. Two rules of thumb govern these dimensions:

- Plan to the necessary level of detail, but no more.
- Detail the short term, sketch the long term, and fill in as you go.

The explicit, visible models that teams create are easier to access and depict than the models that people hold in their heads. As distributed work becomes more complex, digital media is the only way to make the team’s shared models come alive.

Computer-based tools can help you display and update your team’s work. We like a people-oriented process model of virtual teams (see Figure 11.1) based on a method called deployment flowcharting. This approach was pioneered by Dr. W. Edwards Deming and first employed extensively by Toyota in the 1960s. Myron Tribus is credited with developing the icons and elements for a general language of process design and representation. TeamFlow2 is an artfully simple application for modeling and managing virtual team processes using this technique. At the heart of the deployment planning method is the relationship matrix (Chapter 10, step 6) that reflects agreements about who is doing what.

In the virtual team room, you in effect can walk inside your plan. Many of the displays and dashboards on the walls reflect an underlying planning framework that touches all the bases of being a team. By using people-purpose-links-time to organize the heart of the team space, you make the principles concrete and useful.
- **Purpose.** *Goals* serve as headings for groups of tasks and results. *Tasks* and meetings represent chunks of activity. *Results* and decisions appear as output elements and are stored as files.

- **People.** *Members,* individual and organizational, comprise the rings of team boundaries. Symbols on the tasks and other process elements designating roles indicate *leadership.* The hierarchy of the organizational chart and the levels of detail in the workflow reflect *levels.*

- **Links.** People access *media* through addresses in directories, pointers to attachments, and URLs everywhere to anywhere. *Interactions* happen over time, indicated by the workflows and conversations connecting people. The matrix of people and purpose represent *relationships* among members.

- **Time.** *Calendars* include team-specific result deadlines, task-completion milestones, and scheduled events, as well as holidays and other organizationally significant dates that impact timing. *Project* timing is associated with each process element and summarized in a Gantt chart. Phases through milestone decisions and events mark the *life cycles* of the maturing team.

**The Handbook**

As a team moves from launch to implementation, it accumulates considerable detail about its work. Within the room, the group compiles what traditionally would appear in a team handbook. The virtual team handbook functions as the basic shared-but-selective information place. It’s a common resource for team members while serving as the guidebook for new members. You can generate a physical handbook from the information in the room, which can be packaged as a small document, a file folder, or a three-ring binder.

A carry-it-around handbook of key information is useful in conjunction with a more extensive web version. Put plan components like the relationship matrix, team directory, and other common information in the handbook. This can be a very low-tech and uncomplicated way to manage a simple team, or even a soon-to-be-complicated team in its early stages. The
launch plan ideally contains enough detail for alignment without being so
detailed that it unnecessarily constrains people.

Places, plans, and handbooks are ways to organize, simplify, and navigate shared information and intentions. As we become more adept at representing these functions online, we will only accelerate our already dizzying displays of information. Our ability to grasp the whole depends more on the integrity of the underlying frameworks and theory, aside from surface data, which changes more rapidly. Theory makes deep navigation possible.